

Candidate Name \_\_\_\_\_

ID # \_\_\_\_\_

Major \_\_\_\_\_

Benchmark I Review Requirements	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Circle one
<b>1. Explain personal and professional growth between your initial resume (from Intro course) and your updated resume. Provide portfolio evidence.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revealed little insights and unable to explain personal and professional growth.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revealed reflective thinking and clear explanations of personal and professional growth.</li> </ul>	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory
<b>2. Explain your Philosophy of Education.</b> (based on written document from Foundations of Education or orally). Provide <b>portfolio evidence.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revealed few insights about beliefs and values and unable to articulate a philosophy of education.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revealed reflective thinking about beliefs and values and clearly articulated a philosophy of education.</li> </ul>	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory
<b>3. Explain three personal characteristics that will make you an effective teacher.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revealed little thought about personal characteristics, unable to explain why he/she will be an effective teacher.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revealed reflective thinking about personal characteristics and related those characteristics to an explanation of why he/she will be an effective teacher.</li> </ul>	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory
<b>4. Describe yourself as a learner and how that will impact your future teaching.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revealed little thought about self as a learner and/or had difficulty connecting it to future teaching.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revealed reflective insights about self as a learner and connected it to aspects of future teaching.</li> </ul>	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory
<b>5. Describe experiences that have increased your understanding of diversity and human relations and how these might aid you as you work with students and families.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revealed little thought about understanding of or experiences with diverse individuals or unable to connect it to future teaching.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revealed reflections about understanding of and/or experiences with diverse individuals and related that understanding/those experiences to future teaching.</li> </ul>	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory
<b>6. Explain two subject matter/content and education artifacts (with graded rubrics – if available) and how these examples illustrate your understanding of the content you will be teaching. Provide portfolio evidence.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 or no subject matter/content or pedagogy artifacts</li> <li>Revealed little insights and unable to explain how the examples relate to future teaching in the content area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At least 2 artifacts. Appropriate examples include but are not limited to items such as: artifact from a metals class, a ceramics class, a textiles class, a lesson plan, an evaluation instrument, etc.</li> <li>Revealed reflective thinking and clear explanations of how the examples relate to future teaching in the content area.</li> </ul>	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory
<b>7. Completed Alignment Summary</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Incomplete</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Completed summary document with 4 artifacts (including updated resume and philosophy of education) aligned to Wisconsin Teaching Standards and Domains/Components</li> </ul>	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

This candidate:

- Meets** the requirements for Benchmark I School of Education at the Basic level and is admitted to School of Education.
- Does not meet** the requirements for Benchmark I. Candidate must remedy Unsatisfactory areas and schedule a second interview.

Reviewer's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Second Benchmark I Review Date \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Not Approved