1. University Priority

“What solution are you proposing?”

Develop and approve the energy conservation policy and the waste reduction policy

Linkage to Strategic Plans:

Linkage to enduring goals:
__ Offer high quality, challenging academic programs that influence and respond to a changing society.
__ Preserve and enhance our educational processes through the application of active learning principles.
__ Promote excellence in teaching, research, scholarship and service.
__ Recruit and retain a diverse university population.
__ Foster a collegial, trusting and tolerant campus climate.
_x_ Provide safe, accessible, effective, efficient and inviting physical facilities.
_x_ Provide responsive, efficient, and cost-effective educational support programs and services.

Linkage to FOCUS 2015 goals:
___ Advance diversity knowledge, skill sets, and dispositions in students, faculty and staff
___ Expand early and ongoing experiential learning opportunities including undergraduate applied research and entrepreneurship
___ Develop and execute integrated enrollment management
_x_ Focus on sustainability: President’s Climate Commitment and classroom initiatives

Linkage to action plans:
___ Academic Plan
___ Inclusive Excellence (Equity Scorecard)
_x_ Climate Action Plan
___ IT Plan
___ Marketing Plan
___ Capital Plan
___ Division Plans
___ Training and Development Plan

2. Statement of the Issue:
Possible University Priorities to advance the FOCUS 2015 goals were solicited from all faculty, staff and students. In addition, the SPG reviewed FOCUS 2015 progress updates, facts and figures and emerging issues. The Strategic Planning Group also received updates from the groups assigned as responsible for the fall 2009 priorities.

A list of possible University Priority ideas was developed based on the above data and information, and was presented to the SPG on 6/21. Small groups made recommendations as to which items should move forward as a University Priority in fall 2010.

The Chancellor reviewed the recommendations from the small groups and selected the following as the University Priority for fall 2010:

- Develop and approve the energy conservation policy and the waste reduction policy, as proposed by Sarah Rykal

This item was selected for the following reasons:
- Builds on the work that began on the fall 2009 University Priority in this area.
- Was selected as a top priority for this small group.
- These initiatives will assist in obtaining the FOCUS 2015 goals for greenhouse gas emissions.

### 3. Action Plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>“What has to be done for this priority to succeed?”</th>
<th>Responsible: “Who are the positions or people that will be responsible for each step?”</th>
<th>Timeline: MUST be specific target completion date.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review and evaluate environmental cost benefit analysis methodologies and recommend one that will be used to assess the proposed and actual impact of policy changes.</td>
<td>Sarah Rykal for the Environmental Sustainability Steering Committee (ESSC)</td>
<td>December 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete a high level preliminary summary of the financial impact and greenhouse gas impact to the various components of the policies.</td>
<td>Meridith Wentz for Applied Research Center</td>
<td>June 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Categorize or rank the components of each policy from near-term to long-term implementation.  
Sarah Rykal for the ESSC  
December 2011

Propose the policies incorporating the feedback from the campus  
Susan Staggs for Decision-making graduate students; and Sarah Rykal (primary)  
December 2011

4. Implication for Resources:

“What is needed for this priority to succeed?”
(Human, fiscal, physical, other)

5. Key Measures of Performance:

It is the expectation that priority owners will be using/maintaining the process measures to assess action plan deployment. At the end of the fiscal year, the Strategic Planning Group will review and discuss the implementation progress and impact.

Process Measures:
Identify no more than two process measures when answering the question:

“What information will be collected to assess successful deployment of the action plan?”

Performance Indicators:
BPA is responsible for benchmarking overall performance to answer the question:

“What information will be collected to benchmark and measure the priority’s success?”