

MINUTES
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-STOUT
FACULTY SENATE MEETING
SEPTEMBER 17, 2002

ATTENDANCE:

CHAIR: Tom Allen
VICE CHAIR: Claudia Smith

SECRETARY: Mike Galloy
PAST CHAIR: Ned Weckmueller

PRESENT: A. Antonippillai, M. Arora, G. Choudhury, S. Deckelman, B. Evans, B. Foley, G. Godfrey, A. Hoel, D. Johnson, J. Kapus, B. McAlister, L. Miller, S. Orme, A. Parsons, B. Peters, R. Rothaupt, S. Schlough, M. Sherman, S. Shumate, A. Vande Linde, G. Wheeler, K. Zimmerman

EXCUSED: A. Adekola, T. Allen, B. Bergquist, M. Galloy, M. Nicolai, M. Spencer, S. Terry

GUESTS: Chancellor Sorensen, B. Sedlak, D. Moen, A. Ramage, W. Argo, B. Johnson, M. Wentz, R. Olson, D. Weber, A. Thies, S. Griesbach

- I. Call to Order – The meeting was called to order at 2:35 p.m. by Vice Chair Smith.
- II. Announcements and Information – Vice Chair Smith announced that she would act as Chair for the meeting, as Chair Tom Allen was not able to attend.
 - A. Faculty Reps Meeting for September 6 – Because of Allen's absence, this item was deferred to the next meeting.
 - B. Geoffrey Wheeler is the new Senator from the Art and Design Department.
 - C. Faculty Governance Survey (Attachment 1) – Smith informed the group that Ross Olson and Meridith Wentz, from the Budget, Planning and Analysis Office, would help explain the Faculty Governance Survey. She explained that the Personnel Policies Committee worked closely with the Budget, Planning and Analysis Office to create the survey last year. The survey went to the Faculty Senate where changes were made and the attachment was the final form. Wentz explained that there are two versions of the survey—one for faculty and another for academic staff. She said that the web-based survey would be sent out by October 1 and encouraged Senators to fill it out. She added that they did not wish to put any identifiers on the return e-mails to make the process easier. Arora asked how the survey could be revised next year. Smith replied that once the survey results were in, PPC and BPA would examine them to see if there were any problems with the process and if any revisions should be made. Then the revised survey would be channeled through PPC and the Faculty Senate again. She noted that the survey was a product of the BPA Office and that they could revise it in however way they wished; however, BPA wanted to work with the Faculty Senate. Olson explained that this was the first survey of its kind, that there could possibly be some mistakes with it, but that the feedback would help them improve the document for future use. Arora asked if the Senate of Academic Staff had a separate survey. Wentz replied that they did and was very similar to the faculty one. Smith noted that PPC and the Senate did not revise the academic staff survey. Foley expressed concern and suggested that any future surveys should be hard copied and mailed to individuals, so the results couldn't be misconstrued. He said that he would like this problem addressed in the future. Wentz replied that people could possibly copy and submit more paper copies, too. Smith said that the paper copies could be returned in envelopes and processed in the same way as the Faculty Senate election ballot process. Smith then asked Senators to encourage their colleagues to complete the survey and thanked Wentz, Ross, and PPC for carefully constructing the document.

III. Minutes of September 3, 2002 (Attachment 2) – Correction on page 3; VII – Change "Foley/Galloy" to "Peters/Galloy" in the motion to close nominations. B. Foley's name should only be listed as "absent" on the attendance record.

Motion: Johnson/Peters moved to approve the Minutes of September 3, 2002 as corrected.

Vote: Passed unanimously.

IV. Chancellor's Report – Chancellor Sorensen stated that he attended a Board of Regents Meeting last week and reported that there still was no recent news concerning the budget. He stated that there were new travel guidelines and cautioned Senators that the campus needed to be careful about travel this year. Travel increased last year by 2%, so it needs to be closely monitored. The Chancellor also said that there were no major laptop issues on campus so far this semester.

V. Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs' Report

A. AQIP Action Projects - Faculty Senate Participation (Attachment 3) – Provost Sedlak explained that the attachment outlined action projects for AQIP and requested participation from the Faculty Senate. He said that he met with the General Education Committee recently and discussed what's being requested within the document, including the work GEC would do during fall semester. Chancellor Sorensen added that UW-Stout's accreditation was no longer on a ten-year review cycle, but now has an annual review of the AQIP Actions. Reports must be submitted annually, with the visits occurring every third year.

VI. Vice Chancellor for Administrative and Student Life Services' Report

A. Draft Agenda Items for 2002-2003 (Attachment 4) – Moen explained that the attachment showed possible items that she could bring to the Faculty Senate this year, so Senators could share them with their colleagues. The items include major surveys, executive summaries, and major initiatives this year.

B. North Campus Master Plan Update (Attachment 5) – Moen explained that in the Summer of 2000, the University hired HSR Associates and ESG (Architectural Groups) as consultants to help UW-Stout, UW-System, and the State of Wisconsin develop a plan for the North Campus area that addressed aging and outdated residence halls, recreation space, parking and other space needs. Moen said that Stout had to get permission from the City Council to close 2nd avenue; the hearing is scheduled for October 7. Moen added that Council refused the campus' first request, but now additional information would be presented. She encouraged Senators to help support the Plan. Scott Griesbach, Director of University Housing, gave an overview of North Campus Master Plan. He explained that the outdated Jeter-Tainter-Callahan complex is scheduled to be razed and replaced with a multifunction facility that would possibly include a relocated health center, meeting areas, and indoor recreation and leisure space. The Plan includes that a new dining facility would be attached to Hovlid Hall that includes space for work groups, meetings, gatherings, and recreation space for student use. The remaining residence halls (Wigen, Hovlid, and Fleming) would house a combination of single occupancy and double occupancy rooms. New residence halls are planned to be constructed that will include a combination of "suite style" and "apartment style" buildings. The architects will design a building to be compatible to fit whatever space the City will give the campus. Griesbach added that the enclosed map was only a conceptual design--not an accurate design, and that many factors will influence the layout. So far, \$10 million has been approved by the State Building Commission, but \$5.8 million (in state bonds) are still needed. Arora asked how many students were affected by the Plan. Griesbach replied that they numbered approximately 900. Johnson asked what objections the City of Menomonie had. Griesbach replied that the City was concerned that the new building designs were not compatible with the other older buildings surrounding them. He noted that the Plan was to be completed over a fifteen-year span and that funds would be derived by fees and not taxpayer's money.

- C. **Dining Service Master Plan (Attachment 6)** – AnnThies, Director of Food Service, gave an overview of the Dining Service Master Plan. She explained that during the Summer of 2000, Dining Services selected a consultant to develop a master plan that would address aging and outdated dining facilities on campus. After much research, the consultant's report suggested three possible alternative Master Plans which were shared with the campus community. Based on the feedback of students, faculty, and staff, the Dining Service Master Plan was formed. The Plan includes the building of a new dining facility to replace the JTC facility, offering a variety of dining options and a "gathering space" that would help meet the needs of various North campus life styles. Thies stated that the goal for the North Campus was to get the best return on the student's investment. The Plan recommends for the Merle Price Commons that the "all-you-care-to-eat" concept would remain on second floor, that second floor be remodeled to offer service styles, seating, and décor that would not only help enhance the dining atmosphere, but also reflect industry trends. Another component of the Plan would allow the Memorial Student Center to continue the Heritage Café' concept, to maintain the Center as a primary catering location, to reconfigure the retail outlets in the Center to meet low and peak service needs of the customers, and to expand the convenience store and the "grab & go" concept on the lower level.

VII. Committee Reports

- A. **Curriculum & Instruction (Attachment 7)** – Smith said that a new Chair and Vice Chair would be elected on September 19.
- B. **Educational Activities** - Smith reported that Donna Albrecht was EAC's new Chair and Dennis Shaw, Vice Chair.
- C. **General Education** – Smith stated that Sue Beckham was re-elected committee Chair.
- D. **Personnel Policies (Anne Ramage)** – Ramage reported that PPC held its first meeting on September 4 and formed several subcommittees. PPC also held a Promotion Application Workshop on September 11; twenty people attended. A representative from CTEM is still needed to serve on the committee.
1. Charge to PPC regarding researching other "terminal" degrees
 2. Sabbaticals – Is there some way to have more consistency in the way these are evaluated, college by college?
- E. **Planning and Review (Attachment 8)** – Smith reported that Dick Tyson was re-elected Chair and Hugh Williamson, Vice Chair.
- F. **Election Committee (Claudia Smith)** – Smith reported that the Promotion Committee ballots were counted earlier that morning and Joy was calling people to see if they were willing to serve. She said that the date for the "call for committee nominations" was extended to September 25.

VIII. Old Business

- A. **Personnel Policy Change: M. Architecture - Second Reading & Possible Action (Anne Ramage; Attachment 9)** – Smith explained that this item had its first reading last spring and was now up for vote. Anne Ramage, Chair of the Personnel Policies Committee, explained that the committee was contacted one year ago to see if a M. Architecture could qualify an individual for the rank of Assistant or Associate Professor, since several faculty on campus hold this degree. After much deliberation, PPC approved the motion indicated in the attachment.

Motion: Peters/Johnson moved for the Faculty Senate to accept Motion 1 within the Attachment as presented by PPC.

Kapus asked what the Ed. Prep Code was. Ramage replied that it meant that all course work was completed toward a doctorate except the dissertation. Ramage explained that PPC gathered information from other schools and discovered that some individuals who held this degree were ranked as Associate Professors and some were ranked as Assistant Professors. Smith noted that many of these schools used promotion policies that were different from UW-Stout's, and that by approving this motion, it would only allow an

individual with this degree to be promoted to the Associate level. Parsons asked if PPC considered other possible masters' degrees that this could impact if the motion was approved. Ramage replied that PPC discussed this last year and said that faculty that held M. of Fine Arts asked if this could be a Ed. Prep Code 2 as well. Much discussion ensued regarding other degrees and comparing them to the Ed. Prep Code 2. Zimmerman asked for PPC's specific vote on the motion. Ramage replied it was 8 people in favor of the motion and 1 person against it. Much more discussion ensued regarding ed prep codes and terminal degrees. Foley called for the question.

Vote on calling for the question: Passed.

Vote on Motion: (By show of hands) 6 in favor; 14 opposed; 2 abstentions. Motion failed.

Motion Number 2 in the Attachment:

Ramage explained that this motion would change the word, "school," to "college" in the document and would delete the reference to "Level IV" under the Promotion Timetable with corresponding deletion of "Level IV" on the promotion application form.

Motion: Kapus/Johnson moved to approve Motion Number 2 in the Attachment.

Smith explained that this motion would simply clean-up the document's wording.

Peters called for the question.

Vote to call for the question: Passed.

Vote on approving Motion Number 2 in Attachment 9: Passed unanimously.

- B. Endorse Claudia Smith for Alumni Association Rep. – Smith explained that usually the Faculty Senate Chair serves as representative to the Stout Alumni Association. Tom Allen asked if she could serve in his place and Smith agreed.

Motion: Peters/Choudhury moved to endorse Claudia Smith as the Faculty Senate Representative to the Stout Alumni Association.

Vote: Passed unanimously.

- C. Endorse Steve Schlough for Stout Solutions Rep. – Smith explained that the Faculty Senate Chair also serves as a representative on Stout Solutions. Allen asked Steve Schlough to serve in his place and Schlough has agreed.

Motion: Johnson/Peters moved to endorse Steve Schlough as the Faculty Senate Representative on Stout Solutions.

Vote: Passed unanimously.

- D. Discussion of Graduate Council/Faculty Senate Relationship – Smith explained that this item was discussed by the Senate last year. Zimmerman, a member of the Graduate Council, explained that Chair Allen met with the Council last spring to discuss NCA's recommendation to have a graduate faculty sit on the Faculty Senate. Smith said that a few issues and questions were raised last year by the Senate, especially since the Graduate School's policies don't go through the Faculty Senate. Smith stated that Tom Allen would continue to pursue the issue this year and asked the group for additional volunteers to work with Allen and Zimmerman. Bob Peters, Brian McAlister, Scott Orme and Gour Choudhury volunteered. Smith said that Allen and Zimmerman would coordinate their first meeting.

- E. Other – Smith said that the Faculty Senate needed to endorse Tom Franklin and Donna Stewart (who volunteered) to serve as faculty reps on the Intercollegiate Athletic Conference for two-year terms.

Motion: Weckmueller/Schlough moved to endorse Tom Franklin and Donna Stewart to serve as faculty reps on the Intercollegiate Athletic Conference for two-year terms.

Vote: Passed unanimously.

IX. New Business
A. Other

X. Adjournment - 3:55 p.m.

**Respectfully submitted,
Mike Galloy, Secretary**

**Recorded and prepared by,
Joy Morical**