

MINUTES  
FACULTY SENATE MEETING  
MAY 6, 2003

ATTENDANCE:

CHAIR: Tom Allen  
VICE CHAIR: Claudia Smith

SECRETARY: Mike Galloy  
PAST CHAIR: Ned Weckmueller

PRESENT: A. Adekola, A. Antonippillai, B. Bergquist, G. Choudhury, S. Deckelman, B. Evans, B. Foley, G. Godfrey, A. Hoel, D. Johnson, J. Kapus, L. Miller, S. Orme, A. Parsons, B. Peters, M. Sherman, S. Shumate, S. Terry, G. Wheeler, K. Zimmerman

EXCUSED: M. Arora, B. McAlister, M. Nicolai, R. Rothaupt, S. Schlough, M. Spencer, A. Vande Linde

GUESTS: Chancellor Sorensen, B. Sedlak, D. Moen, M. Skutley, J. Brown, A. Ramage, W. Argo, D. Albrecht, P. Hall, J. Thomas, H. Parkhurst, D. Tyson

I. Call to Order – 2:38 p.m.

II. Announcements and Information - Allen announced that copies of the recent elections were distributed to each Senator.

- A. Faculty Reps Meeting -May 2, 2003 – Allen reported that the representatives discussed the possibility of having more than one-year contracts for faculty hires. He explained that UW-Parkside uses a process where the first contract for faculty was for a three-year period, with evaluations occurring over this time. UW-Oshkosh developed a plan where new faculty were given a two-year, followed by a three-year, and then another two-year contract, that would equal seven years for their untenured period. During this time period, the faculty have three major evaluations to help guide them toward tenure. Allen said Stout might want to consider using a similar process that could help make job openings more attractive to candidates. Allen stated that he had an opportunity to spend lunch with members of the Board of Regents and discussed the idea of regionalization for planning and program approval.

II. Minutes of April 22, 2003 (Attachment 1)

Motion: Johnson/Peters moved to approve the Minutes of April 22, 2003.

Correction on Page 4, H.: Miller said that the percentages should be replaced with the grade point averages in the sentence to read: "He and his committee were recommending to change Stout's GPA to 3.9-4.0 (summa), 3.7-3.899 (magna) and 3.5-3.699 (cum laude)."

Vote as Amended: Passed unanimously.

IV. Chancellor's Report – Chancellor Sorensen reported that he recently spent some time with Sheila Harsdorf and she has agreed to support higher tuition rates. However, she was skeptical that UW-System won't get through the budget crisis without more cuts.

V. Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs' Report – Provost Sedlak reminded Senators that Evaluation Week should be used to give final exams to their students; the Faculty Senate endorsed this week and it should be honored. Sedlak said that some issues EAC might need to examine next year were returning to the old calendar where classes began on the hour, Advisement Day, and Evaluation Week.

VI. Vice Chancellor for Administrative and Student Life Services' Report – Vice Chancellor Moen distributed "Summer 2003" to Senators that listed current capital and auxiliary projects for the campus. She noted that the classroom upgrade for 210 Applied Arts was delayed until fall. Projects that would be started this summer include the floor replacement in the Johnson

Fieldhouse, pipe repair to the pool, roof replacement to Bowman Hall and Communication Technology, campus steam pit repairs, and chimney lightning in the Heating Plant. Moen explained that the auxiliary capital projects were funded by students' fees. These projects include new electrical service and furniture replacement for Antrim-Froggatt Hall, dining room renovation for the second floor dining room in the Commons, CKTO Hall roof replacement, and remodeling in the Bookstore. She announced that the Chancellor's Advisory Council Summer Retreat would be held on July 8-9 and encouraged Senators to get any ideas/suggestions they may have to the deans, Allen, or other members of the Chancellor's Council.

VII. Committee Reports

- A. PPC Report (Anne Ramage; Attachment 8) – Ramage distributed PPC's Report to the group and stated that the committee plans to meet once or twice this summer regarding promotion and tenure issues, Dean's evaluations, and helping the new School of Education develop guidelines with their personnel issues.
- B. Department Representatives  
Foley: Voiced displeasure with the recent Faculty Senate vote that allows a positive action committee to rule over a faculty grade given to a student.  
Shumate - His department had three faculty searches, two which were filled.  
Orme: Mary Hopkins-Best won the election as Coordinating Chair of the new School of Education.
- C. Other

VIII. Old Business

- A. Other

IX. New Business

- A. B.S. in Marketing Education (Dick Tyson; Attachment 2)

Motion: Galloy/Kapus moved to approve the B.S. in Marketing Education.

Tyson gave an overview of the program and said that PRC recommended to continue the program.

Vote: Passed unanimously.

- B. M.S. in Guidance and Counseling (K-12 School Counseling) (Dick Tyson; Attachment 3)

Motion: Johnson/Orme moved to approve the M.S. in Guidance and Counseling (K-12 School Counseling).

Tyson gave an overview of the program. Peters asked if the program would be reviewed again in 2004. After some discussion it was agreed to change the year to 2010\*.

*\*After the meeting, Tyson checked the document, said there should be no change to the Committee Findings, and that the year stated on the document should remain as 2004 as follows.*

*"The committee recommends continuation of this program. The committee recommends that the student survey be conducted again in fall 2004 with a subsequent status report by the program director addressing the student concerns noted in this report."*

Vote: Passed unanimously.

- C. M.S. in Home Economics (Dick Tyson; Attachment 4)

Motion: Johnson/Choudhury moved to approve the M.S. in Home Economics.

Tyson gave an overview and said that PRC recommended for the program to continue through the next seven-year cycle.

Vote: Passed unanimously.

**D. Motion on Promotion to Full Professor (Anne Ramage/Chris Bendel; Attachment 7)**

**Motion:** Adekola/Peters moved to approve the change in wording in the Motion on Promotion to Full Professor.

Ramage explained that PPC discussed extensively if people could use documents that were more than five years for their promotion applications to Full Professor. She noted that the committee felt that promotion to Full Professor should be based upon quality of work and total contributions, and voted to approve that people could go back more than 5 years to use these documents. Smith asked Ramage if there was any discussion regarding the criteria and if PPC linked this with any larger issues. In the past, she noted, promotion committees tried to avoid promoting someone twice for the same work. Ramage said that PPC wasn't diluting anything and read the criteria procedures for determining rank-at-hire to the group.

**Vote:** Passed with 1 Abstention.

**E. Membership of Faculty Task Force on Curricular/Instructional Efficiencies (Tom Allen)**

Allen explained that the main membership on the Task Force was comprised of one representative from each of the Senate's standing committees: EAC-Shirley Stewart, CHD; CIC-David Fly, CTEM; GEC-Sue Beckham, CAS; PRC-Dick Tyson, CAS; and PPC-Dave Johnson, CTEM. Allen said that he would chair the group and represent CHD. He noted that he felt that the membership was very balanced. Allen said that other members would include a representative from the Graduate Council (Richard Tafalla), two students, one person from the Senate of Academic Staff, one person from BPA, and the Provost or his designee.

Allen called a recess until Parkhurst, Hall, and Thomas could join the group to discuss the next two items.

**F. Re-Entry Student Admission Policy (Howard Parkhurst; Attachment 5)**

**Motion:** Miller/Bergquist moved to approve the Re-Entry Student Admission Policy.

Pinckney Hall, Dean of Students, explained that in the past, denial decisions for students were formally appealed through the University Committee of Admissions and Credit Appeals and that the policy was revised to have them now go through the Dean of Students. However, he noted that the Committee for Admission and Credit Appeals would still be in used for academic dismissals. He said that it was more effective to use the people in place along with the background files of the student to handle these types of appeals. Information for the case would come from the Admissions Office, the student's academic file, and Dean of Students' files. Bergquist asked Hall if the Dean of Students always had the final say in the past, after hearing the committee's recommendations. Hall replied that he did. Parsons asked why the activation date was listed as 2004 instead of 2003. Thomas replied that the committee was concerned about the Transfer Policy and if revised materials could not be updated in time. Peters recommended that the document's date be revised to "September 2004."

**Vote:** Approved unanimously.

**G. Transfer Student Admission Policy (Howard Parkhurst; Attachment 6)**

**Motion:** Miller/Peters moved to approve the Transfer Student Admission Policy.

Albrecht explained that the rationale was included in the attachment. Thomas said that the GPA increase was in line with what other institutions were doing and that the Guidelines were taken verbatim out of UW System Guidelines to accurately reflect the changes. Discussion ensued concerning students transferring with technical college credits, multiple areas of specialization, and what was meant by transferring 72 credits.

**Vote:            Passed unanimously.**

**H.    Other - Allen explained that in past years, the Faculty Senate did not meet during the summer and asked the group if they wanted to have a summer meeting. He noted that the Executive Committee could be called together on an emergency basis to act on behalf of the full Senate. Smith reminded the group that personnel issues for the School of Education would need to be approved before fall if PPC brought these forward. PPC was also working on promotion issues that would need approval. Ramage explained that the promotion applications come out on July 1 and the Faculty Senate may need to approve some items before that date. Allen said that a summer meeting would be very brief with no heavy agenda and could be held the later part of June. Smith suggested that whatever was passed during the summer could be an interim policy because most members of the Senate could be gone during the summer. Discussion ensued. It was decided to call a full Senate Meeting during June, and if there was no quorum, that the Executive Committee would be called together to make the decisions.**

**X.    Adjournment – 4:15 p.m.**

**Respectfully submitted,  
Mike Galloy, Secretary**

**Recorded and prepared by,  
Joy Morical**