

NOTES FROM COMBINED SENATES MEETING
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-STOUT
APRIL 12, 2005
CEDARWOOD/MAPLEWOOD/OAKWOOD ROOMS
2:30 P.M.

I. Call to Order – 2:37 p.m. by Mike Galloy, Chair of the Faculty Senate.

II. Announcements and Information

1. **Jeff Russell Workshop** – Chair Galloy announced that the faculty and academic staff who attended the workshop learned things about their leadership styles and how to apply them in various situations. The feedback received from the evaluation forms showed it was well received and liked. There will be one more workshop planned in the fall; information would be coming out as soon as it's received.
2. **Retirement Dinner:** Chair Galloy explained that the annual Faculty/Academic Staff Retirement Dinner was sponsored by the Faculty Senate, Senate of Academic Staff, Foundation Office, and Chancellor Sorensen. This year, the retiree participation was quite small, as it had been for several years. Several other activities were also planned for the retirees to honor their years of service to the university this spring. Galloy asked the group if the dinner should be held, or cancelled. Milanese asked the group why the attendance at the dinner was so low—was it over-commitment, or a question of morale. He suggested asking the retirees who chose not to participate in the dinner and program to help answer this question. Swannack said that she was in support of not having the dinner because she's attended many of them in the past and noticed the low attendance. She added that departments, colleges, and other receptions were held for the retirees and suggested putting the dinner on hold this year and doing an evaluation before next year. Peters said that his department took its retirees out to dinner and treated them all equitable for their years of service. He also supported asking the retirees why they chose not to attend the dinner. Schlough added that some people don't retire at the end of the year and there could be a variety of reasons why they don't attend the dinner. However, he noted, that the biggest population that would be left out (if the dinner was permanently cancelled) would be the emeriti faculty. Rudiger said that all emeriti faculty were invited to attend the Chancellor's Reception in the Student Center, along with the Stout community. Ondrus said that the dinner was started when Stout was a much smaller institution and everyone knew each other; it was more close-knit. Now the campus was so large that many people don't know others outside of their college or unit. It was decided by mutual consensus to cancel this year's Faculty and Academic Staff Retirement Dinner and to charge a small group to study the issue. Swannack recommended to have a person from the Memorials and Honors Committee also sit on the task force, as this committee had studied the issue in the past.

III. Faculty Senate Remarks

IV. Senate of Academic Staff Remarks

V. Chancellor's Report – Chancellor Sorensen reported that there were 1800 freshmen enrolled at Stout for next fall, which will help alleviate the enrollment deficit that the campus experienced over the past few years. The recent budget reductions were made without any layoffs. Governor Reilly believes one way that will help save money for campuses would be through outsourcing and bulk-buying. The Chancellor announced that the Board of Regents will meet at Stout the first week in May, the third time since 1990, and urged everyone to attend the four presentations made to highlight the campus' strengths. He urged everyone to attend. Milanese asked the Chancellor if the Focus 2010 Implementation Team's recommendations would be put in place and added that he was receiving many e-mails and concerns. The Chancellor replied that the document came from the Faculty Senate (not him) and an eighteen-month study period would need to be done before any changes would occur.

VI. Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs' Report – Provost Sedlak reported that the preliminary Entitlement to Plan for the Golf Enterprise Management has been approved and the campus could begin planning the curriculum. The program could be in place as soon as January. Chancellor Sorensen stated that there was a major constraint in the ability to move programs through UW System in a timely manner and there must be a way to streamline the process if campuses are to be competitive with other schools.

VII. Vice Chancellor for Administrative and Student Life Services' Report- Vice Chancellor Moen distributed a "Travel Update" and "The Biennial Budget Bulletin" to the group. She announced that Ross Olson resigned from her office, and his last day would be April 22. Interim contact people are Kim Schulte-Shoberg and Meridith Wentz. Moen reported that the State of Wisconsin was awarded four travel agency

contracts to the top-scoring vendors that responded to a statewide travel agency RFP. The "Travel Update" helps explain what each contract would be used for. Stout must be in compliance by August, she noted, and people would be going through the internet to book their sites. Moen said that "The Biennial Budget Bulletin" showed Governor Reilly's position on various topics and encouraged Senators to share the information with their colleagues.

**AGENDA
FACULTY SENATE MEETING
APRIL 12, 2005
CEDARWOOD/MAPLEWOOD/OAKWOOD ROOMS**

ATTENDANCE:

CHAIR: Mike Galloy
PAST CHAIR: Tom Allen

VICE CHAIR: Steve Schlough
SECRETARY: David Johnson

PRESENT: C. Bendel, T. Bensen, B. Bergquist, D. Brouillard, S. Cole, M. Decker, B. Salt (for L. Koepke), K. Mauldin, B. McAlister, L. McCullough, K. McDonald, L. Milanese, M. Ondrus, B. Peters, F. Schultz, M. Sherman, R. Teleb, I. Ul-Haq, N. Weckmueller, G. Wheeler, K. Zimmerman

EXCUSED: P. Calenberg, J. Dzissah, G. Earl, G. Godfrey, A. Hoel, S. Terry

GUESTS: Chancellor Sorensen, R. Sedlak, C. Smith, J. Wesolek, J. Coker, A. Ramage, R. Tyson, W. Argo

IX. Announcements

- A. Chair Galloy announced that the meeting was shortened due to scheduling constraints.
- B. Jeff Russell Workshop – was covered during the joint session.
- C. Retirement Dinner – Due to a low response from retirees this year, Chair Galloy announced that the dinner was canceled. However, he encouraged people to congratulate the retirees during the Chancellor's Reception on April 27.
- D. Professorships – Chair Galloy announced that Dr. Martin Ondrus and Dr. Hans Timper received the Dahlgren Professorships and Dr. Steve Deckelman received the Maybelle Ranney Price Professorship for this year.

X. Minutes of March 1, 2005 (Attachment 1) – Minor correction: Laura McCullough was present at the March 1 Faculty Senate Meeting.

Motion: Decker/Bensen moved to approve the Minutes of March 1, 2005.

Vote: Passed unanimously.

XI. Committee Reports

XII. Old Business

- A. Army ROTC Resolutions (Mike Galloy; Attachment 2) – Galloy read the following resolution to Senators:

"Proposal to Create a Reserve Officer Training Corps (ROTC) University of Wisconsin-Stout

Whereas, The United States Army Cadet Command has proposed entering into a Partnership Agreement with UW-Stout for the purpose of resourcing, developing and implementing a US Army Cadet Command (USACC) Reserve Officer Training Corps (ROTC) Advance Course partnership. The Partnership will be established as a four-year test program. The program will be reviewed annually. At the end of the four-year period, the partnership agreement will either be retained or adjusted based on the needs of UW-Stout or the Army.

Whereas, all curriculum and instruction of the ROTC program will conform to UW-Stout standards and processes. The ROTC program will be administratively placed in the College of Technology Engineering and Management.

Be it resolved that the Faculty Senate of UW-Stout supports the creation of an ROTC program for a four-year test period."

Motion: Johnson/Weckmueller moved to approve the ROTC Resolution.

Johnson recommended to revise the third sentence by adding the word, “Army” before the initials, “ROTC” and adding the words, “commencing fall, 2005.” after the word, “period.”

Vote: By hand count: 18 in favor; 6 opposed. Motion carried.

B. B.S. in Professional Studies (Dick Tyson; Attachment 3) – Tyson gave an overview of the document and explained that PRC recommended the plan, as it was generally consistent with the mission of Stout and fit with its existing programs of study. It’s envisioned, he noted, that it would encourage the technical college graduates and associates in 1- and 2-year programs to come to Stout and finish a 4-year degree. The program will provide a seamless transfer of technical college coursework into a bachelor’s degree. Bensen asked if the program would be housed in CAS. Galloy replied that it was currently housed there. Much more discussion ensued regarding how professional studies would be interpreted and articulation agreements.

Motion: Milanese/Teleb moved to approve the B.S. in Professional Studies.

Vote: Passed unanimously.

C. Other

XIII. New Business

A. Elect Faculty Representatives to Committees (Steve Schlough; Ballots will be brought to the meeting) Schlough distributed ballots to Senators and collected them after the meeting.

B. Recommendation of the Focus 2010 Implementation Team Reviewing Program Alignment (Mike Galloy; Attachment 4) – Chair Galloy explained that the document originated from Focus 2010, was for discussion/information only, and that he served as chair of this committee. He noted that he solely composed that document from meetings notes, as well as from a large amount of data from various stakeholder groups, budget forums, and listening sessions; everything was available on the BPA website. Galloy said that the group met several times during last semester and struggled with the charge to develop a program alignment proposal. A consultant has been engaged to study the marketing effects of Stout programs. The task force offers two recommendations.

1. Expand our program array by designating programs as degrees and concentrations/specializations as majors within degree programs. Chair Galloy explained that our university has a select number of fairly large degree programs that have concentrations and specializations. Stout could immediately expand its marketability to a much wider audience if it used the degree programs and majors approach. When reviewing websites from other universities, the group found that other campuses do not limit their offerings to the constraints of programs. Galloy said that the Implementation Team believes that smaller groups of students, pursuing majors within large degree programs, would broaden Stout’s appeal to prospective students. Allen asked to see some concrete examples on how other universities were using this process. Bensen said that his colleagues were concerned with accreditation issues and noted how critical the names of programs were for attracting certain groups of students to campus. Would changing the names of some of Stout’s programs prolong System’s approval process? Schlough said that Stout needed more flexibility in and control of what it does, including more flexibility from UW System. Much discussion ensued regarding if the programs could be marketed differently, who would control curriculum, and the system-wide impact any changes would make. Milanese suggested that it would be safer just to change Stout’s marketing of the programs and said that all consequences of the change must be studied first. Much more discussion ensued.

2. Initiate a process that will reorganize the University of Wisconsin-Stout academic and administrative structure to reflect the characteristics suggested by analysis of all available data. Chair Galloy announced that he solely composed the diagram and didn’t intentionally mean to exclude CHD from the model. He said that the model showed a university that was made up of groupings (schools) of faculties organized around disciplines. Program array would be composed of interdisciplinary degree programs with multiple majors consisting of courses offered by discipline-based schools. The programs would be offered by the university and the courses would be developed and offered by the schools or faculties. Since most of the programs were interdisciplinary, it would require interaction between schools to meet the needs of students to

complete degree requirements. Galloy added that the end result would bring the faculty more in concert with the administrative structure, as well as enhance the shared governance process. Peters said that he would like to e-mail the document to his own, as well as other colleges on campus. Chair Galloy stressed that it was important for all faculty to share ideas, concerns, and alternative models and asked that Senators share this with their colleagues. He again clarified to the group that the ideas were totally faculty-driven. The attached model only reflected one person's thoughts and ideas.

C. Other

XIV. Adjournment

**Respectfully submitted,
David Johnson, Secretary**

**Recorded and prepared by,
Joy Evenson**