

**MINUTES  
FACULTY SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE  
FEBRUARY 24, 2004**

**ATTENDANCE:**

**CHAIR:** Mike Galloy  
**INTERIM VICE CHAIR:** Steve Schlough

**SECRETARY:** David Johnson  
**PAST CHAIR:** Tom Allen

**PRESENT:** D. Albrecht, M. Decker, L. Koepke, B. McAlister, K. Parejko, A. Ramage, D. Tyson

**EXCUSED:** S. Cole, R. Rothaupt

**GUESTS:** Chancellor Sorensen, D. Moen, C. Smith

**I. Call to Order – 2:30 p.m.**

**II. Announcements and Information**

- A. PPC Focus Groups – Johnson reported that the Focus Group met earlier in the day to discuss Tenure and Post Tenure Review issues. He noted that he was disappointed with the small attendance, but pleased from the high quality of input given. Johnson explained that the reports would be distributed to the faculty and full Senate once they were finalized.**
- B. Faculty Rep for Search & Screen—Lyal’s Position – Galloy reported that the deadline for receiving nominations was February 27; he has received one nomination so far from Steve Schlough.**

**III. Minutes of February 10, 2004 (Attachment 1)**

**Motion: Johnson/Tyson moved to approve the Minutes of February 10, 2004.**

**Vote: Passed unanimously.**

**IV. Chancellor’s Report – Chancellor Sorensen reported that capital planners from UW System visited the campus to help determine ways on how Stout could lobby for a bigger cut of UW System’s budget. He added that the meeting went very well. The Chancellor encouraged everyone to attend the 2010 meetings in April. Galloy asked the Chancellor if he heard anything yet concerning Stout’s technical college proposal. The Chancellor replied that he has received only positive comments from System reps. He said that he would like to bring an updated report concerning the alcohol issue to the full Senate; Pinckney Hall and Al Ebel would be the presenters.**

**V. Chancellor for Academic Affairs’ Report**

- A. AQIP – Professional Development for Governance - Julie Furst-Bowe**  
Furst-Bowe distributed the “Professional Development for Governance Needs Assessment Summary” to the group and explained that the AQIP priorities were determined three ago. A needs assessment was done where the Faculty Senate and Senate of Academic Staff ranked certain needs they would like to see happen on campus. Receiving the lowest score (or highest priority) for each Senate was the need to train new officers and committee chairs. Furst-Bowe asked the group how they wanted to proceed and the group determined that a few people that had interest in addressing the issues/needs should form a committee. Furst-Bowe said that she would ask for Senate volunteers and schedule a committee meeting. Galloy added that if anyone had any comments or wanted to serve, to please contact Furst-Bowe.

- VI. Vice Chancellor for Administrative and Student Life Services’ Report - Vice Chancellor Moen stated that the General Education Assessment Report and the Summary Report concerning the impact of the \$1.2 million cash grab and 128 accounts were ready to come to the Faculty Senate. These items will go on the Agenda. Schlough asked Moen what the status was for the new residence halls. Moen replied that Stout was waiting for the general contractor to sign the final contract, safety fences would be put up after Spring Break, and the campus should see construction begin this summer.**

**VII.**

**Committee Reports**

Committee chairs are requested to give oral reports to the Executive Committee and prepare brief written reports for Faculty Senate meetings. Committee chairs who are not Senators need not attend Senate meetings unless there are specific agenda items from their committee on the agenda. Reports should be submitted by e-mail to Joy by noon on Thursdays. All standing committee chairs are expected to attend the Executive Committee Meetings, since they are voting members of the group.

- A. Curriculum & Instruction (Steve Cole) - no report.
- B. Educational Activities (Donna Albrecht) – Albrecht reported that the five on-line surveys regarding calendar issues were being reviewed by the Human Subject Committee and would be sent out after Spring Break. She noted that the Grade Change Policy was ready to come to the Faculty Senate. Allen suggested for another document to be written that would replace the student misconduct hearing paragraph. Ramage agreed, but added that it would only be clarifying the procedures, not the policy. Allen said that the entire new document could then be passed by the Senate as the new policy. Albrecht said that she would take it to EAC for revising.
- C. Elections (Tom Allen) - Allen reported that a “Call for Nominations” went out to all faculty to fill many committee openings and reminded the group to encourage their colleagues to volunteer their services.
- D. Finance Committee
- E. General Education (Ken Parejko) – Parejko reported that GEC was attempting to gather objectives for the general education assessment on campus and designed a model that was course-imbedded concerning what was happening with the general education courses. Discussion ensued. Chancellor Sorensen suggested that they just ask for all courses, as it was an AQIP priority. Tyson recommended that they go back seven years since the system was formed in order to find documentation for each course. Parejko said that GEC would meet again on February 26 to review more information and feedback.
- F. Personnel Policies (Anne Ramage) - Ramage reported that PPC held Focus Groups in order to receive input regarding tenure and post tenure review. She stated that the Promotion Timeline would be coming for Senate approval in a couple of weeks.
- G. Planning & Review (Dick Tyson) – Tyson distributed his report to the group and reported that PRC met February 20 and heard from the B.S. in Technology Education and the B.S. in Food Systems and Technology. He stated that PRC would meet again on February 27 with hearings on the Engineering Technology and the M.S. in Industrial Education.
- H. Other

**VIII.**

**Old Business**

- A. Other - Galloy stated that all the standing committee bylaws were passed at the February 17 Faculty Senate Meeting and would be effective for the next fiscal year.

**IX.**

**New Business**

- A. Grade Change Policy and Procedure (Donna Albrecht; Attachment 2) – was covered above in the EAC Report.
- B. Other - Galloy said that there was difficulty for many people to find time to schedule meetings and asked the group if the Faculty Senate and Executive Committee needed to each meet twice a month. Much discussion ensued. The Chancellor reminded everyone that the current schedule was created to allow for open dialog with the administrators and to establish trust on campus (which were key issues eight years ago). Koepke recommended that the Executive Committee members stay after the meeting to discuss the issue further.

**X.            Adjournment – 3:15 p.m.**

**Respectfully submitted,  
David Johnson, Secretary**

**Recorded and prepared by,  
Joy Morical**