

**MINUTES  
FACULTY SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE  
NOVEMBER 15, 2005**

**ATTENDANCE:**

**CHAIR:** Steve Schlough  
**VICE CHAIR:** Forrest Schultz

**SECRETARY:** Anne Hoel  
**PAST CHAIR:** Mike Galloy

**PRESENT:** D. Albrecht, B. Anderson, J. Dzissah, B. Finder, S. Terry (for L. Koepke), K. Mauldin, K. Parejko, G. Ruenger

**GUESTS:** Chancellor Sorensen, J. Furst-Bowe, D. Moen

**I. Call to Order – 2:30 p.m.**

**II. Announcements and Information**

**A. Promotion quotas for 2006-2007: 10 to Associate Professor; 6 to Full Professor.**

**B. Steve Cole was added as the Faculty Senate representative to the CIO Search Committee by the Chancellor.**

**III. Minutes of October 28, 2005 (Attachment 1)**

**Motion: Galloy/Ruenger moved to approve the Minutes of October 28, 2005.**

**Vote: Passed unanimously.**

**IV. Chancellor's Report – Chancellor Sorensen reported that he attended the Board of Regents Meeting last week, and there have been changes made to the personnel policies. If a person is hired into a position that is tenurable, he/she can't receive a back-up position unless he/she was tenured in the specific area. The Regents also discussed alcohol abuse. There is national committee trying to encourage diversity. The Chancellor said that he would appoint a steering committee to examine what the polytechnic models mean and plans to meet with the campus after Thanksgiving and work on focusing internally and externally on the subject. The Chancellor asked for the Faculty Senate to endorse the idea of a polytechnic conversation on campus, as it's important to keep the dialogue flowing. Chair Schlough said that he would bring it to the Faculty Senate on November 29.**

**V. Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs' Report – Provost Furst-Bowe announced that she hired Janice Coker as the new Associate Vice Chancellor; her appointment begins in January, and she would be working closely as the Provost's representative on several Faculty Senate standing committees. Furst-Bowe stated that the AQIP information was mailed out last Friday; Don Steffen has done an on-line version. A presentation will be made to the Senates after feedback has been received from viewers. Furst-Bowe also reported that she had a conversation with Fred Schroedl, a retired administrator from the Milwaukee Public School District, who would like to work with Stout in the southern part of state and with the technical colleges. He will begin his duties in January for six months. Ruenger asked how he would be hired. Furst-Bowe said that she would talk to Wayne Argo, but could be hired on as a consultant or something similar. She said that he could come to the Senates to give a progress report if requested. Furst-Bowe concluded by saying that she asked Jane Henderson to come to the Meeting of the Combined Senates to give an update on the laptop and teaching center.**

**VI. Vice Chancellor for Administrative and Student Life Services' Report – Vice Chancellor Moen reported that the planning process for the recent Listening Sessions was finished, with 250 people attending the sessions. The draft of the revised priorities was on BPA's website, if interested. Moen added that good feedback was received, resulting in revising each priority. The message board was still viewable, and all flip chart comments were on the BPA website. However, any additional feedback could still come through the Deans or Senate Chairs and officers. In December or early January, the Chancellor's Advisory Council will make resource recommendations to Chancellor. Moen said that she would like to bring to the Senates an update on the Morale Committee, UCLA Survey overview, and proposed campus planning projects. In December, she said she'd like to bring the Parking Master Plan and in January, the campus'**

Capital Planner, George Acker.

**VII. Committee Reports**

Committee chairs are requested to give oral reports to the Executive Committee and prepare brief written reports for Faculty Senate meetings. Committee chairs who are not Senators need not attend Senate meetings unless there are specific agenda items from their committee on the agenda. Reports should be submitted by e-mail to Joy by noon on Thursdays. All standing committee chairs are expected to attend the Executive Committee Meetings, since they are voting members of the group.

Chancellor Sorensen announced that the campus' search for a new CIO was down to the last eight interviews and was going very well.

- A. Curriculum & Instruction (Steve Cole) - no report.
- B. Educational Activities (Donna Albrecht) –no report.
- C. Elections (Forrest Schultz) – Chair Schlough reported that there were two people running so far for the Vice Chair position; nominations were still needed from CHD and SOE. Schlough will contact both deans to see if they could find people to serve.
- D. Finance Committee (Bill Murphy) – Terry reported that the group met twice so far, appears to be a good working group, and is working on recommendations from a Faculty Senate charge.
- E. Graduate Education Committee (Gene Ruenger; Attachment 2) – Ruenger stated that the committee would like to expand its membership to include alternates (one from each college and SOE) and needed to change their Bylaws. This item will be placed on the November 29 Agenda.
- F. General Education (Ken Parejko) – Parejko reported that GEC met on November 11 and approved the Military Science course to meet the General Education requirements for Physical Education. The group will meet again on November 18 to clean up evaluation tools that will be sent to the General Education chairs. The committee discussed GE objectives to see if they should be revised. They discussed how GE and the program directors could integrate GE throughout the programs and curriculum and program courses. How could the committee help them accomplish what they wanted to do? Terry asked about the ROTC basic training and said he wasn't contacted. Mauldin explained that there was no Stout recognized major for this—the courses just went through. Schlough asked if GEC checked the GE requirements from other universities. Parejko replied that they hadn't, but it would be worthwhile to do. Discussion ensued regarding Capstone, GE purposes, effect on students, and wanting to use results from the survey in the right way. Parejko concluded by stating that PRC would hold two meetings in January for Professional Development.
- G. Personnel Policies (Karen Martinson; Attachment 3) – see report.
- H. Planning & Review (Brian Finder) – Finder distributed his report and explained that PRC will meet on December 2 to review the B.A. in Psychology, and the M.S. and Ed.S. in Career and Technical Education. The committee is in the final stages of updating its internal Program Audit and Review Plan. Galloy asked if program criteria were still valid in light of all the changes at Stout. Finder replied that anyone could verify the criteria PRC uses to rate the programs.
- I. Other

**VIII. Old Business**

- A. Dahlgren & Ranney Price Professorships for 2006-2007 (tabled from October 18 Faculty Senate Meeting; Attachment 4) – Chair Schlough announced that funding for the professorships would come from discretionary endowment earnings from other accounts, so this item could be removed from the table during the Faculty Senate Meeting on November 29.
- B. Digital Governance (Faculty Senate charged Executive Committee to craft a formal charge to the Digital Governance Committee) – Chair Schlough explained that Cole wanted to define what digital governance was. Discussion ensued regarding the name and how to use the technology that the campus currently has in order to do the Senate's business. Anderson drafted a charge to the Digital Governance Committee that was approved by the Executive Committee. The charge will be brought to the November 29 Faculty Senate Meeting.

- C. **Polytechnic Designation (tabled from October 18 Faculty Senate Meeting for more input; Attachments 5 and 6) - Chair Schlough stated that the Chancellor had requested that the Faculty Senate endorse more discussion of the polytechnic issue. Discussion ensued and it was agreed to put the topic under New Business for November 29. Discussion further ensued that the proposed agenda item would specify only the discussion/investigation of the topic, and not support or approve the polytechnic university.**
- D. **Committee Inventory (Steve Schlough) - Chair Schlough explained that he and Joy would handle this. Additionally, Joy will send e-mails to check if some of the committees and task forces were still valid and if faculty reps were still needed on them.**
- E. **Other**

**IX. New Business**

- A. **B.S. in Hotel, Restaurant and Tourism Management (Brian Finder; Attachment 7) Finder stated that PRC approved the course to continue for another seven years. This would be placed on the November 29 Faculty Senate Agenda for approval.**
- B. **e-Scholar Assessment Committee (Bob Peters) – Chair Schlough explained that Bob Peters had spoken to him about a concern that the e-Scholar Assessment Committee wanted to do a student evaluation on how effective teachers were in using technology. However, there was nothing in a teacher’s contract saying that they must use technology, but only to use evaluations that the department selects. Finder explained that PRC had a quite a discussion as a result from a request from Furst-Bowe regarding the asking of program directors how they use technology in their programs. Nancy Schofield was following up with further clarification on the topic with Furst-Bowe. Discussion ensued regarding kinds of technology and if teachers don’t use technology effectively, it could be a detriment. It could also appear that administration was trying to evaluate instruction in another way.**
- C. **Scanner (Steve Schlough)- Chair Schlough explained that the Chancellor’s Advisory Council had this item on their agenda. If it was determined that the campus’ needed to be replaced, it would cost \$13,000. Schlough said that he wanted to ask department constituents how extensively their departments were using Scantron scoring tests, or if tests could be administered through Desire to Learn instead. Discussion ensued regarding areas on campus that still cannot receive wireless (i.e. 210 and other classrooms). Anderson noted that using Desire to Learn would make it his students to cheat and explained that they can flip very fast back and forth screens and pages. Terry and Galloy asked the group why the campus couldn’t use both systems. It was decided that this item be placed on the November 29 Faculty Senate Agenda.**
- D. **Other**

**X. Adjournment - 4:00 p.m.**

**Respectfully submitted,  
Anne Hoel, Secretary**

**Recorded and prepared by,  
Joy Evenson**