

**MINUTES  
FACULTY SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE  
OCTOBER 25, 2005**

**ATTENDANCE:**

**CHAIR:** Steve Schlough  
**VICE CHAIR:** Forrest Schultz

**SECRETARY:** Anne Hoel  
**PAST CHAIR:** Mike Galloy

**PRESENT:** D. Albrecht, B. Anderson, S. Cole, J. Dzissah, B. Finder, L. Koepke, K. Mauldin, K. Parejko, G. Ruenger, F. Schultz

**EXCUSED:** K. Martinson, B. Murphy, S. Schlough

**GUESTS:** J. Furst-Bowe, D. Moen

**I. Call to Order – 2:30 pm. by Vice Chair Schultz .**

**II. Announcements and Information**

**A. There will be no Faculty Senate Meeting on November 1, due to the Priority Listening Session scheduled from 3:30-5:00 p.m. in Ballroom C.**

**B. Promotion quotas for 2006-2007: 10 to Associate Professor; 6 to Full Professor.**

**C. Steve Cole was added as the Faculty Senate representative to the CIO Search Committee by the Chancellor.**

**III. Minutes of October 11, 2005 (Attachment 1)**

**Motion: Ruenger/Mauldin moved to approve the Minutes of October 11, 2005.**

**Vote: Passed unanimously.**

**IV. Chancellor's Report – none.**

**V. Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs' Report – Provost Furst-Bowe Julie announced that a different compensation system for instructors would be used for the 2006 Summer Session. The per credit scale would be that Full Professors would receive \$1500 per credit, Associate Professors would receive \$1300, and Assistant Professors and everyone else would receive \$1100. She noted that most people would benefit from the change unless they were at the very top of the scale. Galloy asked if the process was ever calculated on a “per student per credit per hour” basis. Furst-Bowe replied that a group worked on this subject last year, tried calculating the formula various ways, and determined to try it for next summer. It will be very easy to manage, she added. Galloy said that he would like to see a set rate, so there would be no differences. Furst-Bowe said that the decision was made in consultation with Continuing Education. Furst-Bowe announced that the Curricular Incubation Center would help give faculty/academic staff release time and some extra funding. The three emphasis areas include programs and subprograms in the academic plan; focus work toward degree completion; and to explore faculty/staff to link with different programs to look at possible cluster models for two or more programs that could combine resources for good student outcomes. Furst-Bowe said she was working with Sue Foxwell to find funding from special projects and Stout Solutions for mini-sabbatical program development. Deans would review the proposals and type of release time would be up to the instructor and department chair, beginning in January. Furst-Bowe reported that the campus would participate in a Midwest Student Exchange Program that would allow students from Kansas, Michigan, North Dakota, Nebraska, Missouri, and Minnesota to attend Stout for less than the full tuition rate. There will be no special admission requirements, all rules would be abided by, and most degree programs would be available.**

**VI. Vice Chancellor for Administrative and Student Life Services' Report – Vice Chancellor Moen explained that the Listening Sessions would be one and one-half hours long, including an introductory by the Chancellor on what was accomplished during the past year. Moen stated that over 100 people had signed up for the travel workshops. All campus cuts will be reported during the November Board of Regents Meeting. The Chancellor would begin discussing with the**

Councils the Polytechnic Proposal and has drafted a four-page proposal.

**VII. Committee Reports**

Committee chairs are requested to give oral reports to the Executive Committee and prepare brief written reports for Faculty Senate meetings. Committee chairs who are not Senators need not attend Senate meetings unless there are specific agenda items from their committee on the agenda. Reports should be submitted by e-mail to Joy by noon on Thursdays. All standing committee chairs are expected to attend the Executive Committee Meetings, since they are voting members of the group.

- A. Curriculum & Instruction (Steve Cole) – no report.
- B. Educational Activities (Donna Albrecht) – no report.
- C. Elections (Forrest Schultz) – Schultz reported that the Faculty Senate election would be held in November.
- D. Finance Committee (Bill Murphy) –The committee met on October 21 and elected Bill Murphy as Chair. They plan to meet again to review the list of suggestions that they could help with.
- E. Graduate Education Committee (Gene Ruenger) – Ruenger stated that they would meet on October 26.
- F. General Education (Ken Parejko) – Parejko reported that they met on October 14. In its general overview of the general education curriculum, the committee decided to first look at ways to better integrate the general education objectives into program curricula. They will bring the results to the Executive Committee.
- G. Personnel Policies (Karen Martinson) – Martinson submitted a report after the meeting for the November 29 Faculty Senate Agenda.
- H. Planning & Review (Brian Finder) – Finder reported that PRC would meet on October 28 and follow-up on three questions regarding program interfacing with department chairs, staggering their reports for Senate approval throughout the year, examining relationships of program directors, and beginning a request to perform a self-study.
- I. Other

**VII. Old Business**

- A. Dahlgren & Ranney Price Professorships for 2006-2007 (tabled from October 18 Faculty Senate Meeting) – Schultz explained that this item was tabled in order to give the Chancellor time to find out if there was discretionary money available from the Stout Foundation to fully fund the professorships for a few years until the base fund increases. This item would go on the next Faculty Senate’s Agenda.
- B. Digital Governance (Faculty Senate charged Executive Committee to craft a formal charge to the Digital Governance Committee) – Vice Chair Schultz explained that the Faculty Senate charged the Executive Committee to develop a charge to the Digital Governance Committee to utilize the technology made available to the campus and that the committee bring a proposal back to the Faculty Senate yet this year. Schultz said he would be willing to lead the committee. Galloy explained that the Executive Committee sets the agenda for the Faculty Senate Meetings and suggested to charge the Digital Governance Committee with a specific proposal to utilize electronic capabilities to improve Faculty Senate’s various communications. Cole suggested that the group needed to define what digital governance was, as it was more than just sending out meeting packets and minutes electronically. Much discussion ensued. Cole recommended that feedback from the committee should come to the Senate in January, 2006.
- C. Polytechnic Designation (tabled from October 18 Faculty Senate Meeting for more input) Vice Chair Schultz said that there was a motion to approve this item, which was tabled during the last Senate Meeting in order to allow time for Senators to gather more input from their constituents. It would be placed on the next Faculty Senate Meeting Agenda. Discussion ensued. The group also agreed to distributed the “Request for Designation of the UW-Stout as the Polytechnic University for the State of Wisconsin” as well as the Channel 13 write up, “UW-Stout’s Foundation” to the Senate.

Anderson said that PPC Chair, Karen Martinson, found a paragraph added to the Handbook that wasn’t what the Senate approved last year. Anderson and Hoel will ask Martinson and PPC when they meet on October 31 to investigate and report back to the Executive Committee.

**D. Other**

**IX. New Business**

- A. Faculty Senate Constitution Amendments (Steve Schlough; Attachment 2) – Vice Chair Schultz explained that Joy found the official record from March, 1997, that showed that the Faculty Senate’s Secretary position was elected by the faculty-at-large. This needs to go in the Handbook. The group agreed to send a memo to Wayne Argo and Chancellor Sorensen asking that this be done.**
  
- B. Other – Cole asked if the Senate meeting would be rearranged, due to the Listening Session meeting on one of the Faculty Senate meeting times. Galloy suggested leaving the schedule alone, unless the Senate felt a need to call an additional meeting together.**

**X. Adjournment – 3:30 p.m.**

**Respectfully submitted,  
Anne Hoel, Secretary**

**Recorded and prepared by,  
Joy Evenson**