REPORT: How students rate the quality service climate on campus

Excerpted data from the 2010 Noel-Levitz National Student Satisfaction and Priorities Report

How satisfied are students with the service they receive—and how important is it to them?

This report documents significant strides that colleges and universities have made in recent years to improve service quality and their overall campus climate, yet also finds that campuses still have room for improvement.

A few highlights:

• While progress is evident, students continue to observe gaps in many specific areas, with varying issues on four-year public and private, two-year, and career/private campuses.

• One specific challenge students identify—getting the service “run-around”—is particularly widespread across institution types.

• Five-year trend data show the importance of continuously working to improve service quality.

The report begins by identifying specific strengths and challenges by institution type. In a subsequent section, the report provides trend data from 2005-2010 to show the changes in student expectations and satisfaction over a longer period.
The source of data

The findings in this report were excerpted from the 2010 National Student Satisfaction and Priorities Report, the 17th annual study of student satisfaction conducted by Noel-Levitz. You may download the complete report, without charge, at www.noellevitz.com/Benchmark.

The 2010 National Student Satisfaction and Priorities Report was based on survey data gathered between the fall of 2007 and the spring of 2010 from students attending nearly 829 colleges, universities, community colleges, and career and private schools. Sample sizes were as follows: 89,408 students from four-year publics; 264,557 from four-year privates; 178,116 from two-year community, junior, and technical colleges; and 54,121 from career and private schools.

The survey instrument used in the study was the Noel-Levitz Student Satisfaction Inventory™. This instrument consists of over 70 items that cover the full range of college experiences. Each item is expressed as a statement of expectation. Each statement includes a rating scale of 1 to 7 (7 = very important/very satisfied; 1 = not important/not satisfied at all). Students were asked to rate the level of importance they assign to the expectation as well as their level of satisfaction that the expectation is being met.

The 70-plus items on the survey instrument are grouped into 11 scales. These include:

- Academic Advising Effectiveness
- Academic Services (two-year and career/private schools)
- Campus Climate
- Campus Life (four-year schools)
- Campus Support Services
- Concern for the Individual
- Instructional Effectiveness
- Recruitment and Financial Aid Effectiveness
- Registration Effectiveness
- Safety and Security
- Service Excellence
- Student Centeredness

Recent research has confirmed the strong connection between how students feel on campus and their likelihood to stay enrolled at the institution. In the 2009 Research Study, Linking Student Satisfaction and Retention, creating an inviting climate on campus is cited as important in increasing a student’s odds of persisting by as much as 80 percent. The 4-year institution research confirms, “Students who feel welcome, know what’s happening on campus, and feel that they belong are more likely to return the following year.” Campuses who want to improve their persistence rates should pay particular attention to their campus climate. The full white paper can be downloaded at www.noellevitz.com/retentionlink.
Key Findings, Section One: Strengths and Challenges

Students’ responses to the 70-plus individual survey items were analyzed to determine strengths (high importance/high satisfaction) and challenges (high importance/low satisfaction). Strengths were defined as survey items that students rated above the mid-point in importance and in the top quartile of satisfaction. Challenges were defined as items that were above the mid-point in importance and in the bottom quartile of satisfaction and/or the top quartile of performance gaps.

For the purposes of this report, only items relating directly to quality service are highlighted. Among the strengths and challenges identified by institution type are the following:

### Four-Year Public Colleges and Universities

**Strengths**

Survey items students rated as most important/most satisfying:

- My academic advisor is knowledgeable about requirements in my major.
- My academic advisor is approachable.
- Faculty are usually available after class and during office hours.
- Students are made to feel welcome on this campus.

**Challenges**

Survey items students rated as most important/least satisfying:

- Faculty are fair and unbiased in their treatment of individual students.
- Security staff respond quickly in emergencies.
- Faculty provide timely feedback about student progress in a course.
- This institution shows concern for students as individuals.
- I seldom get the “run-around” when seeking information on this campus.
- Financial aid counselors are helpful.

### Four-Year Private Colleges and Universities

**Strengths**

Survey items students rated as most important/most satisfying:

- My academic advisor is approachable.
- The campus staff are caring and helpful.
- Faculty are usually available after class and during office hours.
- Students are made to feel welcome on this campus.

**Challenges**

Survey items students rated as most important/least satisfying:

- Faculty are fair and unbiased in their treatment of individual students.
- Faculty provide timely feedback about student progress in a course.
- Security staff respond quickly in emergencies.
- Financial aid counselors are helpful.
- Faculty take into consideration student differences as they teach a course.
- I seldom get the “run-around” when seeking information on this campus.

There is widespread dissatisfaction in areas that matter to students—the service run-around and concern for students as individuals.
Two-Year Community, Junior, and Technical Colleges

**Strengths**
Survey items students rated as most important/most satisfying:
- Faculty are usually available after class and during office hours.
- Students are made to feel welcome on this campus.
- It is an enjoyable experience to be a student on this campus.

**Challenges**
Survey items students rated as most important/least satisfying:
- The school does whatever it can to help me reach my educational goals.
- Faculty provide timely feedback about student progress in a course.
- Faculty are understanding of students’ unique life circumstances.
- My academic advisor is concerned about my success as an individual.
- The college shows concern for students as individuals.
- Financial aid counselors are helpful.

Career/Private Schools

**Strengths**
Survey items students rated as most important/most satisfying:
- Students are made to feel welcome at this school.
- Financial aid counselors are helpful.
- My academic advisor is approachable.
- The school staff are caring and helpful.
- Administrators are approachable to students.
- Faculty are usually available after class and during office hours.
- The personnel involved in registration are helpful.

**Challenges**
Survey items students rated as most important/least satisfying:
- Faculty are fair and unbiased in their treatment of individual students.
- The school shows concern for students as individuals.
- Faculty are understanding of students’ unique life circumstances.

Special note on concern for students and the service “run-around”
As indicated, there is widespread dissatisfaction in areas that matter to students—the service run-around and concern for students as individuals. These challenges, evident for each institution type, have also been identified in a separate companion study focusing only on students 25 years and older, the 2010 National Adult Student Priorities Report. You may download the complete report, without charge, at www.noellevitz.com/Benchmark.

While the service run-around and concern for students as individuals sound like specific problems, they aren’t. They are symptoms of several problems as we’ve noted in the Noel-Levitz online training program curriculum, Connections NOW™.

- Staff may lack knowledge of campus systems, policies, and procedures.
- Staff may be too busy to help.
- Staff may not take responsibility for customer problems.
- Staff may have poor access to information from other offices.
- The institution may have fragmented processes without concern for how students and other customers use them.
- Staff may not be empowered to seek solutions.
Key Findings, Section Two: Five-Year Trends

The 70-plus survey items are grouped into 11 scales, of which three relate directly to the quality service climate on campuses: Concern for the Individual, Campus Climate, and Service Excellence.

Below are the three scales, the student scores, and the items that make up each scale by institution type. The 2009-10 results show that performance gaps have dropped or held steady in most areas, which means quality service is improving on campuses. The accompanying importance and satisfaction scores show the continuing importance students place on service quality. It is also interesting to note that the largest performance gap at all four institution types for the 2009-10 academic year was in the Concern for the Individual scale. This is an area of opportunity for all types of campuses to further improve.

Four-Year Public Colleges and Universities

For four-year public institutions, the largest 2009-10 gap score among the three scales was in Concern for the Individual, which assesses the institution’s commitment to treating each student as an individual. This gap has increased in the past year.

Note that the sample sizes for these scores were 33,274 for 2005-06; 28,015 for 2006-07; 26,933 for 2007-08; 19,658 for 2008-09; and 35,384 for 2009-10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concern for the Individual</th>
<th>7 = very important/very satisfied; 1 = not important/not satisfied at all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Importance</td>
<td>6.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Gap</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.08</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Items comprising this scale:* Faculty care about me as an individual; My academic advisor is concerned about my success as an individual; Counseling staff care about students as individuals; Faculty are fair and unbiased in their treatment of individual students; Residence hall staff are concerned about me as an individual; This institution shows concern for students as individuals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Excellence</th>
<th>7 = very important/very satisfied; 1 = not important/not satisfied at all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Importance</td>
<td>5.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Gap</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.02</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Items comprising this scale:* The campus staff are caring and helpful; Library staff are helpful and approachable; The staff in health services are competent; Counseling staff care about students as individuals; The personnel involved with registration are helpful; I seldom get the “run-around” when seeking information on this campus; I generally know what is happening on this campus; Channels for expressing student complaints are available.
Items comprising this scale: Most students feel a sense of belonging here; This institution shows concern for students as individuals; It is an enjoyable experience to be a student on this campus; I feel a sense of pride about my campus; This institution has a good reputation within the community; There is a commitment to academic excellence on this campus; The campus staff are caring and helpful; Students are made to feel welcome on this campus; Administrators are approachable to students; I seldom get the “run-around” when seeking information on this campus; I generally know what’s happening on campus; Tuition paid is a worthwhile investment; There is a strong commitment to racial harmony on this campus; Channels for expressing student complaints are readily available; Freedom of expression is protected on campus; Faculty care about me as an individual; The campus is safe and secure for all students.

Four-Year Private Colleges and Universities

For four-year private colleges and universities, the largest 2009-10 gap score among the three scales was Concern for the Individual, but Campus Climate was close behind.

Note that the sample sizes for these scores were 104,561 for 2005-06; 81,312 for 2006-07; 96,730 for 2007-08; 75,284 for 2008-09; and 97,625 for 2009-10.
### Service Excellence  7 = very important/very satisfied;  1 = not important/not satisfied at all

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Importance</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>6.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>5.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Gap</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.96</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.95</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.93</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.88</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.86</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Items comprising this scale:* The campus staff are caring and helpful; Library staff are helpful and approachable; The staff in health services are competent; Counseling staff care about students as individuals; The personnel involved with registration are helpful; I seldom get the “run-around” when seeking information on this campus; I generally know what is happening on this campus; Channels for expressing student complaints are available.

### Campus Climate  7 = very important/very satisfied;  1 = not important/not satisfied at all

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Importance</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>6.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>5.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Gap</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.98</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.95</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.95</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.88</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.88</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Items comprising this scale:* Most students feel a sense of belonging here; This institution shows concern for students as individuals; It is an enjoyable experience to be a student on this campus; I feel a sense of pride about my campus; This institution has a good reputation within the community; There is a commitment to academic excellence on this campus; The campus staff are caring and helpful; Students are made to feel welcome on this campus; Administrators are approachable to students; I seldom get the “run-around” when seeking information on this campus; I generally know what’s happening on this campus; Tuition paid is a worthwhile investment; There is a strong commitment to racial harmony on this campus; Channels for expressing student complaints are readily available; Freedom of expression is protected on campus; Faculty care about me as an individual; The campus is safe and secure for all students.
Two-Year Community, Junior, and Technical Colleges

For two-year community, junior, and technical colleges, the largest 2009-10 gap score among the three scales was also for the scale Concern for the Individual by a large margin.

Note that the sample sizes for these scores were 81,813 for 2005-06; 61,064 for 2006-07; 60,206 for 2007-08; 62,875 for 2008-09; and 60,695 for 2009-10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concern for the Individual</th>
<th>7 = very important/very satisfied; 1 = not important/not satisfied at all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Importance</td>
<td>6.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>5.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Gap</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.86</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Items comprising this scale:** Faculty care about me as an individual; My academic advisor is concerned about my success as an individual; Counseling staff care about students as individuals; Faculty are fair and unbiased in their treatment of individual students; This college shows concern for students as individuals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Excellence</th>
<th>7 = very important/very satisfied; 1 = not important/not satisfied at all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Importance</td>
<td>5.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>5.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Gap</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.72</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Items comprising this scale:** The campus staff are caring and helpful; Library staff are helpful and approachable; The personnel involved with registration are helpful; I seldom get the “run-around” when seeking information on this campus; I generally know what is happening on campus; Channels for expressing student complaints are available; People on this campus respect and are supportive of each other; Administrators are approachable to students; Bookstore staff are helpful.
### Campus Climate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importance</th>
<th>Satisfaction</th>
<th>Performance Gap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>5.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>5.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Gap</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.70</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.69</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Items comprising this scale:* Most students feel a sense of belonging here; The campus is safe and secure for all students; It is an enjoyable experience to be a student on this campus; Students are made to feel welcome here; This institution has a good reputation within the community; This college shows concern for students as individuals; Faculty care about me as an individual; People on this campus respect and are supportive of each other; The campus staff are caring and helpful; I generally know what is happening on campus; This school does whatever it can to help me reach my educational goals; Administrators are approachable to students; New student orientation services help students adjust to college; I seldom get the “run-around” when seeking information on this campus; Channels for expressing student complaints are readily available.

### Career and Private Schools

For career and private schools, the largest 2009-10 gap score among the three scales was also for the scale Concern for the Individual.

Note that the sample sizes for these scores were 14,152 for 2005-06; 6,197 for 2006-07; 8,155 for 2007-08; 13,311 for 2008-09; and 35,346 for 2009-10.

### Concern for the Individual

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importance</th>
<th>Satisfaction</th>
<th>Performance Gap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Gap</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.04</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.14</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Items comprising this scale:* Faculty care about me as an individual; My academic advisor is concerned about my success as an individual; Advising staff care about students as individuals; Faculty are fair and unbiased in their treatment of individual students; This school shows concern for students as individuals.
### Service Excellence  7 = very important/very satisfied;  1 = not important/not satisfied at all

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Importance</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>6.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>4.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Gap</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.94</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.99</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.04</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.89</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.16</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Items comprising this scale:* The campus staff are caring and helpful; Library staff are helpful and approachable; The personnel involved with registration are helpful; I seldom get the “run-around” when seeking information at this school; I generally know what is happening at this school; Channels for expressing student complaints are available; People at this school respect and are supportive of each other; Administrators are approachable to students; Bookstore staff are helpful.

### Campus Climate  7 = very important/very satisfied;  1 = not important/not satisfied at all

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Importance</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Gap</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.94</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.08</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.89</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.20</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Items comprising this scale:* Most students feel a sense of belonging here; The campus is safe and secure for all students; It is an enjoyable experience to be a student at this school; Students are made to feel welcome at this school; This institution has a good reputation within the community; This school shows concern for students as individuals; Faculty care about me as an individual; People at this school respect and are supportive of each other; The campus staff are caring and helpful; I generally know what is happening at this school; This school does whatever it can to help me reach my educational goals; Administrators are approachable to students; New student orientation services help students adjust to school; I seldom get the “run-around” when seeking information at this school; Channels for expressing student complaints are readily available.
How to make quality service an institutionwide priority: 11 ideas

1. Build quality service expectations into job descriptions and performance evaluations.

2. Develop a meaningful and deliverable institutionwide quality service mission statement: a public commitment to quality service.

3. Name a broadly representative committee to oversee the planning and execution of an institutionwide quality service strategy.

4. Help managers learn how to hire staff who will be good agents of quality service by providing training and information about ways to interview for service skills.

5. Ratchet up new employee orientation to help staff quickly become productive members of the organization. Refer to the white paper, Planning for Employee Onboarding: Finding Ways to Increase New Employee Success and Long-Term Retention to read more about the 10 elements of a successful onboarding process.

6. Develop a reward and recognition system for front-line staff that is attractive and meaningful and that effectively promotes service excellence.

7. Regularly invite the input of support staff on institutional policies and procedures.

8. Encourage administrative offices and faculty units to develop their own service statements and service strategies.

9. Implement a quality service training program for front-line staff.

10. Provide better training and supervision of part-time and student employees.

11. Regularly survey students about their interaction with various offices and services.

Summary

The quality service climate on campuses is getting better, but there is still room for improvement.

Campuses that are serious about service quality will look at this national trend data as a valuable source of information, but they will not stop there. To serve students well, it is even more critical for each institution to listen to its own students’ unique needs and priorities by conducting campus-specific satisfaction assessments. Resulting gaps and priorities can then be used to develop targeted action plans for retaining and serving specific student populations.
For more information

For more information on enhancing service quality on your campus, please contact Jo Hillman of Noel-Levitz at 1-866-982-4244 or 920-982-4244, or e-mail jo-hillman@noellevitz.com.

For more information on the survey instrument used in this study, the Student Satisfaction Inventory, please contact Julie Bryant of Noel-Levitz at 1-800-876-1117 or 319-626-8380, or e-mail julie-bryant@noellevitz.com.

A word about Noel-Levitz

A trusted partner to higher education, Noel-Levitz helps systems and campuses reach and exceed their goals for enrollment, marketing, and student success. Over the past three decades, the higher education professionals at Noel-Levitz have consulted directly more than 2,600 colleges and universities nationwide in the areas of:

- Student retention
- Staff and advisor development
- Student success
- Marketing and recruitment
- Financial aid services
- Research and communications
- Institutional effectiveness

Noel-Levitz has developed an array of proven tools and software programs; diagnostic tools and instruments; Web-based training programs; and customized consultations, workshops, and national conferences.

For more information, contact:
Noel-Levitz, Inc.
2350 Oakdale Boulevard
Coralville, Iowa 52241-9702
Phone: 800-876-1117
Fax: 319-626-8388
E-mail: ContactUs@noellevitz.com

Read our Noel-Levitz Blog for the latest dialogue on campus concerns:
http://blog.noellevitz.com/